Case3:09-cv-03101-WHA Document8 Filed07/29/09 Page1 of 15

1	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP		
2	JOHN C. FOX (Bar No. CA 135668) E-mail: JFox@Manatt.com		
3	ALEXA L. MORGAN (Bar No. CA 234911) E-mail: AMorgan@manatt.com 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2		
4	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006 Telephone: (650) 812-1300		
5	Facsimile: (650) 213-0260		
6	Attorneys for Defendant Pangea3 LLC		
7			
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTH	ERN DISTRICT	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12	S. Lata Setty,	No. CV 09 3101 EDL	
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
14	VS.		
15	Pangea3 LLC,		
16	Defendant.		
17			
18	Defendant Pangea3 LLC ("Pangea3"	or "Defendant") respectfully submits this Answer to	
19	the Complaint of S. Lata Setty ("Plaintiff").	With respect to the allegations in the numbered	
20	paragraphs of the Complaint, the Defendant 1	responds as follows:	
21	<u>PREAMBLE</u>		
22	1. In response to Paragraph 1, Do	efendant admits that this action purports to seek	
23	relief under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Age Discrimination in Employment		
24	Act, and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1973, and under state law. Defendant		
25	also admits that this action purports to seek declaratory relief.		
26	2. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
27	truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2, and therefore, denies them.		
28	4		
LPS &		DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

- 3. In response to Paragraph 3, Defendant admits that this action purports to arise out of Plaintiff's October 31, 2008 termination from Pangea3.
 - 4. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4.
 - 5. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 5.

PARTIES

- 6. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, and therefore, denies them.
- 7. Defendant admits that it is a Delaware limited liability company and that it does business in California. Defendant further admits that it has maintained employees in California and that it currently has a registered California Employer Tax Identification Number. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 7.
- 8. In response to Paragraph 8, Defendant admits that Pangea3 is in the business of providing outsourced legal services to major business enterprises and to leading United States law firms, largely through attorneys and staff located in India. Defendant, however, denies that it provides services through various independent third party strategic partners and alliances based in the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. The allegations contained in Paragraph 9 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 9.
- 10. The allegations contained in Paragraph 10 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 10.
- 11. The allegations contained in Paragraph 11 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 11.

1		FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS	
2	12.	Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the	
3	truth of the a	illegations contained in Paragraph 12, and therefore, denies them.	
4	13.	Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the	
5	truth of the a	illegations contained in Paragraph 13, and therefore, denies them.	
6	14.	Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the	
7	truth of the a	illegations contained in Paragraph 14, and therefore, denies them.	
8	15.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15.	
9	16.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16.	
10	17.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17.	
11	18.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 18.	
12	19.	Defendant admits that Mr. Perla asked Plaintiff to join him and Mr. Kamlani in	
13	presenting to Morgan & Finnegan, LLP. Defendant also admits that Mr. Kamlani was designated		
14	as a co-founder and co-CEO of the Company. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in		
15	Paragraph 19	9.	
16	20.	Defendant admits that Defendant obtained IP-related work from Morgan &	
17	Finnegan. D	Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 20.	
18	21.	Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 21.	
19	22.	Defendant admits that under the Independent Contractor Agreement, Plaintiff was	
20	to be paid at	a rate of \$40 per hour for services rendered by Plaintiff to Pangea3. Defendant	
21	denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 22.		
22	23.	Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 23.	
23	24.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 24	
24	25.	Defendant admits that in February 2005 Yahoo! Inc. became a client of Pangea3.	
25	Defendant, h	nowever, denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 25.	
26	26.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 26.	
27	27.	Defendant admits that on or about February 20, 2005, Plaintiff became a full-time	
28	employee of	Pangea3 and that she and Pangea3 entered into a written employment agreement as	

of that date. Defendant also admits that Plaintiff's initial position was Vice President of Intellectual Property & Litigation, and that her starting base salary was \$110,000. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 27, and therefore, denies them.

- 28. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 28.
- 29. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 29, and therefore, denies them.
- 30. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 30, and therefore, denies them.
- 31. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 31, and therefore, denies them.
 - 32. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 32.
- 33. Defendant admits that Plaintiff executed a Confidentiality Inventions Rights & Non-Competition Agreement that contains non-competition and non-solicitation covenants.

 Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 33, and therefore, denies them.
 - 34. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 34.
 - 35. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 35.
 - 36. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 36.
 - 37. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 37.
 - 38. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 38.
 - 39. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 39.
 - 40. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 40.
- 41. In response to Paragraph 41, Defendant admits that in January 2006, Pangea3 entered into fundraising efforts, and that, in connection with these fundraising efforts, it presented to The GlenRock Group, LLC ("GlenRock"). Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 41.

Case3:09-cv-03101-WHA Document8 Filed07/29/09 Page5 of 15

1	42.	Defendant admits that on March 30, 2006, Pangea3 closed a \$4 million Series B	
2	funding lead by GlenRock. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a		
3	belief as to the	ne truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 42, and therefore, denies	
4	them.		
5	43.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 43.	
6	44.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 44.	
7	45.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 45.	
8	46.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 46.	
9	47.	Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 47.	
10	48.	Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the	
11	truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 48, and therefore, denies them.		
12	49.	Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the	
13	truth of the a	llegations contained in Paragraph 49, and therefore, denies them.	
14	50.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 50.	
15	51.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 51.	
16	52.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 52.	
17	53.	Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 53.	
18	54.	Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 54.	
19	55.	In response to Paragraph 55, Defendant admits that Brajesh Mohan, a patent	
20	attorney, was hired by Pangea3 in October 2007 as the Vice President of Intellectual Property		
21	Services. Defendant also admits that Mr. Mohan is younger than Plaintiff and that his salary was		
22	\$200,000. Defendant is unclear as to the statement, "and he was given the opportunity to earn		
23	additional options and the right to receive an annual cash bonus," and therefore, denies this		
24	allegation.		
25	56.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 56.	
26	57.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 57.	
27			

- 75. Defendant admits that it contends that Plaintiff is bound outside of California by the non-competition and non-solicitation covenants contained in the No Compete Agreement that she signed. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 75, and therefore, denies them.
- 76. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 76 except that Defendant denies that it ever sought to enforce this agreement against Plaintiff as to work she accomplished in California for client facilities in California.
- 77. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 77 except that Defendant denies that it ever sought to enforce this agreement against Plaintiff as to work she accomplished in California for client facilities in California.
 - 78. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 78.
- 79. The allegations contained in Paragraph 79 are, legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 79.

COUNT II – GENDER DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACTION OF 1964

- 80. Defendant restates and incorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 79 as if set forth fully herein.
- 81. The allegations contained in Paragraph 81 are, legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 81.
- 82. The allegations contained in Paragraph 82 are, legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 82.

- 83. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 83.
- 84. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 84.
- 85. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 85.

2425

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COUNT IV – AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT

102. Defendant restates and incorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 101 as if set forth fully herein.

The unequitors committee in a unique process contraction to which he
response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations
contained in Paragraph 103.
104. The allegations contained in Paragraph 104 are, legal conclusions to which no
response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations

- In response to Paragraph 105, Defendant admits that Plaintiff held herself out to be at least 40 years of age while she was an Independent Contractor of Pangea3 and while she was
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 106, and therefore, denies them.
 - Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 107.
 - Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 108.

COUNT V – AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §§ 12940, ET SEQ.

- Defendant restates and incorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 116 as if
- The allegations contained in Paragraph 118 are, legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations

1	136.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 136.	
2	COUNT VIII – BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR		
3		<u>DEALING</u>	
4	137.	Defendant restates and incorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 136 as if	
5	set forth fully	herein.	
6	138.	The allegations contained in Paragraph 138 are, legal conclusions to which no	
7	response is re	equired. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations	
8	contained in l	Paragraph 138.	
9	139.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 139	
10	140.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 140.	
11	141.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 141.	
12	142.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 142.	
13		COUNT IX – INTERFERENCE WITH ERISA BENEFITS	
14	143.	Defendant restates and incorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 142 as if	
15	set forth fully	herein.	
16	144.	The allegations contained in Paragraph 144 are, legal conclusions to which no	
17	response is re	equired. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations	
18	contained in Paragraph 144.		
19	145.	Defendant is unclear as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 145, and	
20	therefore, denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 145.		
21	146.	Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 146.	
22	147.	Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the	
23	truth of the al	legations contained in Paragraph 147, and therefore, denies them.	
24	148.	Defendant admits that it knew Plaintiff participated in the Plan. Defendant denies	
25	the remaining	g allegations contained in Paragraph 148.	
26	149.	In response to Paragraph 149, Defendant states that it is unclear as to the meaning	
27	of the phrase	"her benefits," and therefore, denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 149.	
28			

1	150. The allegations contained in Paragraph 150 are, legal conclusions to which i			
2	response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations			
3	contained in Paragraph 150.			
4	151.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 151.		
5	152.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 152.		
6	153.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 153.		
7	154.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 154.		
8	155.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 155.		
9		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
10		FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
11	156.	The Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, fails to state facts		
12	sufficient to state or constitute a claim against Defendant and further fails to state facts sufficien			
13	to entitle Plaintiff to the relief sought, or to any other relief whatsoever, from Defendant.			
14	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
15	157.	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is		
16	uncertain as to the wrongful acts of Defendant which allegedly caused injury and damage to			
17	Plaintiff.			
18		THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
19	158.	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each and every cause of action therein, is barred by the		
20	applicable statute of limitations.			
21		FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	159.	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, is barred by the		
23	doctrines of l	aches and/or estoppel.		
24		FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
25	160.	By her conduct, Plaintiff has waived any right to recover any relief under her		
26	Complaint, or	r any purported cause of action alleged therein.		
27				
28				

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

1	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	161. Plaintiff's injuries or damages, if any, were not proximately caused by the acts of		
3	the Defendant.		
4	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
5	162. Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, is barred because		
6	at all relevant times, Defendant acted with a good faith belief that it had good cause to act as it did		
7	and did not directly or indirectly perform any acts which would constitute a violation of any of		
8	Plaintiff's rights.		
9	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
10	163. Plaintiff's recovery, if any, should be barred or decreased to the extent that she has		
11	failed to mitigate her purported damages.		
12	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
13	164. Defendant is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that it followed all		
14	appropriate terms and conditions of any alleged contractual relationship between Plaintiff and		
15	Defendant.		
16	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17	165. Plaintiff's claims for breach of contract are barred on the ground that as to each		
18	and every oral, implied, or other contractual relationship alleged therein, there was a failure of		
19	consideration.		
20	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
21	166. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands by		
22	reason of Plaintiff's own conduct and actions.		
23	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	167. Plaintiff has not suffered any damages as a result of any acts and/or omissions of		
25	Defendant, thereby barring Plaintiff from asserting any claims against Defendant.		
26	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
27	168. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against Defendant upon which attorneys' fees,		
28	costs, or interest maybe awarded.		
LPS &	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO		

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 169. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against Defendant upon which back pay may be 3 awarded. 4 FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 5 170. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against Defendant upon which compensatory 6 damages may be awarded. 7 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 8 171. Some or all of Plaintiff's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, because 9 Plaintiff was employed at will. 10 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 11 172. Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, is barred because 12 any employment action allegedly taken with respect to Plaintiff was based upon legitimate, non-13 discriminatory reasons completely unrelated to Plaintiff's purported age, gender, race, religion 14 and/or any other basis protected by law. 15 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 16 173. Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, is barred because 17 at all relevant times, none of Defendant's acts were in bad faith, spiteful, malicious, or otherwise 18 motivated by any ill-will or illegal intent. Instead, all acts toward Plaintiff were, at all relevant 19 times, non-discriminatory, non-harassing, and/or non-retaliatory and taken in accordance with 20 Defendant's rights as accorded by law. 21 NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 22 Defendant alleges, without conceding that Plaintiff has sustained damages as 174. 23 alleged in the Complaint, that if Plaintiff did sustain damages as alleged in the Complaint, such 24 damages, in all or in part, were caused by persons or entities other than Defendant and that, at all 25 times, said persons or entities acted without the consent, authorization, knowledge, or ratification 26 of Defendant with regard to the acts as alleged in the Complaint, and that Defendant took prompt 27 remedial action.

28

1		TWEN	<u>TIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENS</u>	<u>E</u>
2	175.	Defendant alleges that	Plaintiff's claims are frivolous and g	roundless and known to
3	Plaintiff to be frivolous, groundless and without foundation in fact or law. Furthermore, the su			
4	is being pursu	ed in bad faith for vexa	tious reasons for the purpose of haras	ssing Defendant.
5	Accordingly, l	Defendant is entitled to	attorneys' fees and other appropriate	costs and expenses
6	incurred in det	fending this action.		
7		TWENT	Y-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFEN	<u>ISE</u>
8	176.	Some or all of Plaintif	f's causes of action are barred, in who	ole or in part, because
9	Plaintiff was f	ired by the same person	who hired her, and therefore, the "S	ame Actor Defense"
10	applies.			
11	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
12	177. Defendant presently has insufficient knowledge or information on which to form			tion on which to form a
13	belief as to whether it may have additional, as yet unstated, affirmative defenses available.			
14	Defendant reso	erves the right to assert	additional defenses in the event that	discovery indicates
15	they would be appropriate.			
16	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for relief against Plaintiff as follows:			ws:
17	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by her Complaint and that judgment be entered in the			nent be entered in the
18	Defendant's fa	avor;		
19	2.	That Defendant be awa	arded costs of suit and attorneys' fees	s incurred herein; and
20	3.	Such other and further	relief as the Court deems just and pr	oper.
21 22	Dated: July	29, 2009	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS John C. Fox Alexa L. Morgan	, LLP
23			C	
24			By: /s/ Alexa L. Morgan	
25			Alexa L. Morgan Attorneys for Defendant PANGEA3 LLC	
26			THIODIE EDC	
27	20218869.2			
28			15	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW Palo Alto